



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

H.P.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/781,902	02/20/2004	Cheol-jin Park	Q77979	5335
23373	7590	06/06/2007	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			DINH, TUAN T	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/781,902	PARK, CHEOL-JIN
	Examiner Tuan T. Dinh	Art Unit 2841

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-9, 12, 13, 15 and 18-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 10 and 23 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 14, 16, 22 and 24-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5, 14, 16, 22, 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCutchan et al. (US 5,864,478) in view of Handforth et al. (US 6,061,241).

As to claims 1-5, 14, 16, McCutchan et al. discloses an inverter power module as shown in figure 1, comprising: a main board (11, column 2, line 52) having a power block (15, column 2, line 56, and 17, column 2, line 59) is arranged, the power block further including a plurality of first elements through hole-mounted on a front side of the main board and a sub-board mounting part (17) (claim 2); and a sub-board (13, column 2, line 54) having component is arranged, see figure 1, and is mounted on the main board (11), the sub-board mounting part (17) comprising at least one connector and the sub-board comprises a pin header (19, column 2, line 60) corresponding to the connector.

McCutchan et al. does not specific disclose an inverter block, which has second elements surface-mounted on one side or on front-back sides of the sub-board.

Handforth et al. shows an inverter module (200), the module having an inverter element (24), which is a FET, and having a plurality of surface mounted components mounted on a substrate (12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a teaching of Handforth et al. employed in the module of McCutchan et al. in order to achieve high productivity and functions operated in the circuit board.

Regarding claims 22, 24, McCutchan et al. as modified by Handforth shows the inverter block (200) (the block includes a FET 24) capable of being function to invert DC to AC.

Regarding claims 25-28, McCutchan et al. as modified by Handforth discloses the inverter block comprised an inverter-IC (FET 24), and two switching FET (24) and two protection elements (relays).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10, 23 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: neither the references cited nor the cited of references do teach or suggest the first elements comprise a line filter; X-cap; Y-cap; a power-IC; an input rectifying block comprising a first switching FET; an output rectifying block comprising a diode, an inductor, and a capacitor, and a feedback circuit block comprising a photo-coupler, a power transformer, and an inverter transformer, and the second elements comprise a dimming

element; an inverter-IC; a second switching FET; a third switching FET; a first protection element, and a second protection element.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

4. Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 03/14/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

For claims 1 and 16, McCutchan and Handforth do not disclose "an invert block". Examiner disagrees because in Handforth that teaches a module (200) including a FET (24), so the module (200) having a function at least in FET element (24) do/does invert current that applied into the module (200) to be invert signal or date from the module (200) to the board.

For claim 22, applicant argues that card module (200) does not invert DC-AC.

Examiner disagrees because McCutchan et al. as modified by Handforth shows these structure.

Art Unit: 2841

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reichard Dean can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Dinh
May 25, 2007.


TUAN T. DINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER